<u>Home</u> > <u>CANSIM</u> >

(Note: Content area on this page may be wider than usual.)

CANSIM - Results

Table 105-02131,2,3,4,9

Functional health status, by age group and sex, household population aged 12 years and over, Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS 2.1 and 3.1), Canada, provinces and territories, every 2 years

Survey or program details: Canadian Community Health Survey - 3226

Geography ⁴	Age group	Sex	Functional health status ^{2,3}	Characteristics 5,6,7,8	2003	200
	<u> </u>			Number of persons	24,946	27,188
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	100.0
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	5,088	5,47
		Dath	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	20.4	20.:
		Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	19,696	21,16
			very good or perfect functional fleatin	Percent	79.0	77.
			Franchismal health status and state !	Number of persons	F	549
			Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	2.0
				Number of persons	12,450	13,66
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	100.0
				Number of persons	2,431	
	Total 12 years and ayer		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	19.5	19.
	Total, 12 years and over	Males		Number of persons	9,915	10,77
			Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	79.6	78.
				Number of persons	F	
			Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
				Number of persons	12,496	13,52
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	100.
			Madanata an arrang Guardian at the attle and the second	Number of persons	2,657	2,86
		Fama!	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	21.3	21.:
		Females	Now, good or portoot functional basish	Number of persons	9,782	10,39
			Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	78.3	76.
			Franchismal health status and stated	Number of persons	F	
			Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
[Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	3,682	3,85
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	100.
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	519 ^E	612
		L		Percent	14.1 ^E	15.9
		Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	3,162	
				Percent	85.9	
			IFunctional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
				Percent	F	
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	1,922	2,01
				Percent	100.0	100
			Moderate or severe functional health problems Very good or perfect functional health Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	25
	12 to 19 years			Percent	F	12.
		Males		Number of persons	1,658	
				Percent	86.3	84
				Number of persons	F	'
				Percent	F	
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	1,760	1,83
				Percent	100.0	
				Number of persons	F	35
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	F	19.
		Females		Number of persons	1,504	1,48
			Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	85.5	
				Number of persons	65.5 F	
			Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
				Number of persons	5,975	6,4
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0		
				Number of persons	1,091 ^E	
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	· · · · · ·		
	Both sexes	· ·	Percent	18.3 ^E		
		Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	4,884		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Percent	81.7	85	
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
				Percent	F	

		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons		2,92
			Percent Number of persons	100.0 508 ^E	100.0 302
	Males	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	17.8 ^E	
	Wales	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	2,348 82.2	2,60 89.
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
20 to 34 years		,	Percent Number of persons	3,118	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	583 ^E	
	Females	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	2,535	
			Percent Number of persons	81.3 F	83.
		Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	5,412 100.0	
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	1,159 ^E	
	Both sexes		Percent Number of persons	21.4 ^E 4,219	
		Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	78.0	
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	2,595	
			Number of persons	100.0 F	100.
35 to 44 years	Males	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	F	
		Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	2,055 79.2	77.
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent Number of persons	2,816	2,77
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	652 23.2 ^E	- 001
	Females	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	2,164	2,22
			Percent Number of persons	76.8 F	80.
		Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	8,067 100.0	
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	1,837 22.8	2,00
	Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	6,136	
			Percent Number of persons	76.1 F	74
		Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	4,208 100.0	
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons		1,039
45 to 64 years	Males	and the second second reality problems	Percent	22.0	
		Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	3,246 77.1	3,62 75
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	3,860	4,41
			Percent Number of persons	100.0 912	
	Females	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	23.6	22
		Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	2,891 74.9	3,2 <i>6</i>
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
			Percent Number of persons	1,810	2,10
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	100
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	482 ^E 26.6 ^E	40
	Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	1,294	
		Total good or perfect functional fiedlin	Percent Number of persons	71.5 F	56
		Functional health status, not stated	Percent Persons	F	
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	869	1,10

Yukon Territory

ı		1	Ì		Percent	100.0	100.0
				Number of persons	F	414 ^E	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	F	37.5 ^E
		Males	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	607	674 ^E	
				, g p	Percent	69.9	0
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	
ı		65 years and over		T	Number of persons	942	
ı				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	100.0
ı				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	254 ^E	
ı			Females	<u> </u>	Percent	27.0 ^E 687	
ı				Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	73.0	516 ^E
					Number of persons	7 5.0 F	01.0
L				Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	F
ı				Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	33,228	
ı					Percent Number of persons	100.0 6,144	
			Both sexes	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	18.5	
			Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	26,532	
					Percent Number of persons	79.8 F	81.2 F
				Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	17,262	18,070
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	2,542	
		Total, 12 years and over	Males	Now, and an approximation of brookly	Number of persons		14,991
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	83.3	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	
					Number of persons		16,594
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	3,603	
			Females		Percent Number of persons	22.6 12.158	19.8 13,156
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	76.1	79.3
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
					Percent Number of persons	5,656	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	1,588	
			Both sexes	inoderate of severe functional fleatin problems	Percent	28.1	22.5
				Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	3,768	
				Franchism of the older should be should	Number of persons	F	
				Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	3,020 100.0	
					Number of persons	833 ^E	
			Males	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	27.6 ^E	
		12 to 19 years	Males	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	1,936	
				20. J good of portoot functional fleater	Percent	64.1	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	2,636	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	754	0.10
		Females		Percent Number of persons	28.6 1,832	17.0	
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	69.5	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	F
					Percent	F	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	10,084	
				Number of persons	1,182 ^E		
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	11.7 ^E	
		Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	8,855		
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Percent	87.8	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	
			Total population for the verichle for the surface of the surface o	Number of persons	5,260		
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0		
•			•	•			

	i	ı	1	Number of persons	F	Εĺ
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent Persons	F	F
		Males	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	4,694 89.3	4,928 88.1
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	67.5	F
			runctional health status, not stated	Percent	F	F 252
	20 to 34 years		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	4,824 100.0	
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	645 ^E	868 ^E
		Females		Percent Number of persons	13.4 ^E 4,160	16.2 ^E 4,441
			Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	86.2	83.0
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	F F
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	7,803	
			Total population for the variable functional fleath status	Percent	100.0	
		L	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	1,060 ^E	796 ^E
		Both sexes	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	6,730	
			, 3	Percent Number of persons	86.2 F	88.7 F
			Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	F
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	3,763 100.0	
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	F	F
	35 to 44 years	Males	Moderate of Severe functional fleatin problems	Percent	F 2 41E	F 3,525
			Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	3,415 90.8	95.0
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F F	F F
				Percent Number of persons	4,040	
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	-
		Females	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	712 ^E	624 ^E 18.1 ^E
			Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	3,315	
			very good or perfect functional fleatiff	Percent	82.0 F	81.9
Northwest Territories			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F	F F
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons		9,053
				Percent Number of persons	1,544	100.0 1,634 ^E
		Both sexes	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	19.5	18.0 ^E
			Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	6,301 79.8	7,210 79.6
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	F
			Tanonal nearth status, not stated	Percent Number of persons	F 4 380	F 4,888
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent		100.0
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	532 ^E	
	45 to 64 years	Males		Percent Number of persons	12.1 ^E 3,816	
			Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	87.1	79.9
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F F	F F
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	3,519	4,164
				Percent Number of persons	1,012	
		Fomalos	Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	28.7	
		Females	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	2,485	
				Percent Number of persons	70.6 F	79.3 F
			Functional health status, not stated	Percent	F	F
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	1,786 100.0	
			Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	771 ^E	
		Both sexes		Percent	43.2 ^E	48.3 ^E
			Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	878 49.2	860 ^E 48.2 ^E
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	40.2 F
			, anotional health status, not stated	Percent	F 839	F 894
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons Percent	100.0	

I				I	Number of persons	291 ^E	378 ^E
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	34.7 ^E	
			Males	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	513	
			, g F	Percent	61.1 F	54.1 ^E	
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	F		
		65 years and over		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	947	889
		,			Percent	100.0	_
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	480 ^E 50.7 ^E	482 ^E
			Females		Number of persons	365 ^E	
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	38.6 ^E	42.4 ^E
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
ŀ					Percent Number of persons	13.743	14,948
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	2,756 20.1	
			Both sexes	Now and an approximation of brookly	Number of persons		11,905
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	78.4	-
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons Percent	214 ^E	
					Number of persons	1.6 ^E 6,975	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons Percent	1,144 16.4	
		Total, 12 years and over	Males	Name and the same	Number of persons	5,711	
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	81.9	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F F	2,2
					Percent Number of persons	6,768	0.0
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons		1,304 ^E
			Females	<u> </u>	Percent Number of persons	23.8 5,061	17.0
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	74.8	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
					Percent Number of persons	3,286	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	772	700
			Both sexes	·	Percent Number of persons	23.5	2
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	74.3	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
				·	Percent Number of persons	1,612	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	240 ^E	
		12 to 19 years	Males	·	Percent Number of persons	14.9 ^E 1,318	
				Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	81.8	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
					Percent Number of persons	1,675	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	532 ^E	
			Females	·	Percent	31.8 ^E	
				Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons Percent	1,125 67.2	
				Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	
					Percent Number of persons	4,821	
				Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0	
				Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	806	
		Both sexes		Percent Number of persons	16.7 3,959		
			Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	82.1	83.4	
			Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F F		
				Percent Number of persons	2,330		
			Total population for the variable functional health status	Percent	100.0		
,		•	ı,	•	•		

Number of persons 329^E 473^E Moderate or severe functional health problems ercent 14.1^E 17.3^E Number of persons 1,967 2.200 Males Very good or perfect functional health Percent 84.4 80.6 Number of persons Functional health status, not stated Percent Number of persons 2.491 2.548 20 to 34 years Total population for the variable functional health status Percent 100.0 100.0 477^E Number of persons Moderate or severe functional health problems 19.1^E 10.6^E Percent Females Number of persons 1,992 2,202 Very good or perfect functional health 80.0 86.4 Percent Number of persons F Functional health status, not stated Percent F 2,543 2,900 Number of persons Total population for the variable functional health status Percent 100.0 100.0 Number of persons 315^E 553^E Moderate or severe functional health problems 12.4^E 19.1^E Percent Both sexes Number of persons 2,155 2,314 Very good or perfect functional health Percent 84.7 79.8 Number of persons Functional health status, not stated Percent Number of persons 1,368 1,433 Total population for the variable functional health status Percent 100.0 100.0 335 Number of persons Moderate or severe functional health problems 13.9^E Percent 23.4E 35 to 44 years Males Number of persons 1.161 1.065 Very good or perfect functional health 84.8 74.4 Percent Number of persons F Functional health status, not stated Percent 1.174 1,467 Number of persons Total population for the variable functional health status 100.0 100.0 Percent Number of persons F Moderate or severe functional health problems Percent Females Number of persons 994 1,249 Very good or perfect functional health Percent 84.6 85.1 Number of persons Functional health status, not stated Percent Number of persons 2,572 2.931 Total population for the variable functional health status 100.0 100.0 Percent Number of persons 441^l Moderate or severe functional health problems 23.1 Percent 15.0^E Both sexes 1.964 2.459 Number of persons Very good or perfect functional health 76.3 83.9 Percent Number of persons F Functional health status, not stated Percent Number of persons 1,361 1,466 Total population for the variable functional health status 100.0 100.0 Number of persons 250^E Moderate or severe functional health problems Percent 18.4^E 45 to 64 years Males Number of persons 1,097 1,241 Very good or perfect functional health 80.6 84.7 Percent Number of persons Functional health status, not stated F Percent Number of persons 1.211 1.465 Total population for the variable functional health status 100.0 100.0 Percent 344^E 247^E Number of persons Moderate or severe functional health problems Percent 28.4^E 16.9^E Females 1,218 Number of persons 867 Very good or perfect functional health 71.6 83.1 Percent F Number of persons Functional health status, not stated Percent Number of persons 521 519 Total population for the variable functional health status 100.0 100.0 Percent F Number of persons 186^E Moderate or severe functional health problems F 35.9^E Percent Both sexes F Number of persons 238^l Very good or perfect functional health 45.9^E Percent Number of persons Functional health status, not stated Percent Number of persons F 315 Total population for the variable functional health status 100.0 Percent Εİ

Nunavut

1	1	I	Number of persons	l e	F
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Percent	F	F
			Number of persons	F	F
	Males	Very good or perfect functional health	Percent	F	F
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	F
			Percent	F	F
		Total population for the variable functional health status	Number of persons	F	204
65 years and over			Percent	F	100.0
		Moderate or severe functional health problems	Number of persons	F	F
			Percent	F	F
	Females	Very good or perfect functional health	Number of persons	F	F
			Percent	F	F
		Functional health status, not stated	Number of persons	F	F
			Percent	F	F

Symbol legend:

- E Use with caution
- F Too unreliable to be published

Footnotes:

- 1. Source: Statistics Canada, Canadian Community Health Survey, 2003 and 2005
- 2. Population aged 12 and over reporting measures of overall functional health, based on 8 dimensions of functioning (vision, hearing, speech, mobility, dexterity, feelings, cognition and pain).
- 3. Otherwise known as the Health Utility Index (HUI), this index was developed at McMaster University's Centre for Health Economics and Policy Analysis and is based on the Comprehensive Health Status Measurement System (CHSMS). A score of 0.8 to 1.0 indicates very good or perfect health. A score below 0.8 indicates moderate or severe functional health problems.
- **4.** Canada and provincial estimates are based on sub-sample weights.
- 5. When comparing estimates, it is important to use confidence intervals to determine if differences between values are statistically significant. Confidence intervals describe sampling variability and give an indication of the precision of a given estimate. Bootstrapping techniques were used to produce the coefficient of variation (CV) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
- 6. Data with a coefficient of variation (CV) from 16.6% to 33.3% are identified as follows: (E) use with caution.
- 7. Data with a coefficient of variation (CV) greater than 33.3% were suppressed due to extreme sampling variability and are identified as follows: (F) too unreliable to be published.
- 8. The following standard symbols are used in this Statistics Canada table: (...) for figures not available for a specific reference period and (...) for figures not applicable.
- 9. The CANSIM table 105-0213 is an update of CANSIM table 105-0013.

Source: Statistics Canada. Table 105-0213 - Functional health status, by age group and sex, household population aged 12 years and over, Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS 2.1 and 3.1), Canada, provinces and territories, every 2 years, CANSIM (database). http://cansim2.statcan.ca/cgi-win/cnsmcgi.exe?

Lang=E& CANSIMFile=CII\CII 1 E.htm& RootDir=CII/ (accessed: October 17, 2008)

Date Modified: 2007-05-25

Printer-friendly format Begin a new CANSIM request